	AFMA Research Committee (ARC)
	Torres Strait Scientific Advisory Committee (TSSAC)
tvpe (of application you are submittina – an EOI in response to a call

Please indicate the type of application you are submitting – an EOI in response to a call for research; or a full proposal in response to ARC/TSSAC advice that your initial application has been approved for further development:

	Expression of Interest (Please complete Sections 1-4 inclusive)
	Full Research Proposal (Please
	complete all sections)
l	

SECTION 1 - ADMINISTRATIVE SUMMARY

Project title:		
Applicant:		
Contacts		
<u>Administrative</u>		
Title/Name:	Phone:	
Position:	Email:	
Organisation:	Postal address	:
Principal Investigator		
Title/Name:	Phone:	
Position:	Email:	
Organisation:	Postal address	:
Co-investigator (s)		
Title/Name:	Phone:	
Position:	Email:	
Organisation:	Postal address	:
Co-investigator (s):		
Title/Name:	Phone:	
Position:	Email:	
Organisation:	Postal address	:

Planned Start and End Date						
Start Date:						
	SECTIO	DN 2 - PROJECT SUMMARY	(
PROJECT BUDGET	: (Excluding GST)					
Financial Year	AFMA	Applicant	Other			
	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00			
	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00			
	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00			
Totals	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00			
SECTION 3 – PROJECT DESCRIPTION						
Background						
Consultation (consultation with the relevant fisheries manager or senior manager when developing the application is highly recommended)						
Objectives:						
Needs:						
Planned outcomes, considering applicat			letail of what is assessed w	hei		
Methods:						

Performance Indicators
Risk Analysis
Communication and Community Extension (Compulsory category for TSSAC Applications) (Describe the extension and communication activities planned for the project. End-users are often in the best position to decide the most appropriate outputs, so consider having them describe their output needs. Particular emphasis should be included on communication and extension strategies that are suitable for Traditional Owners
and consider 'A Guide for Fisheries Researchers Working In the Torres Strait'2)
Engagement of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders (Compulsory category for TSSAC
Applications) (Describe how the project plans to engage Torres Strait Islanders/Prescribed Body Corporates in meaningful and appropriate ways. Include details on the level of engagement and, in particular if employment is included)
Related Projects and Research Capacity

SECTION 4 - Schedule of Payments

As a general rule, up to 10% of the total project cost may be provided as an initial payment and a minimum of 30% of the total project cost must be left for the draft and/or final report.

Milestones Details on each milestone must provide sufficient information to justify the milestone cost. The description field will describe the work to be completed for that milestone with the justification field elaborating further on the categories of cost - for example salary splits, details of travel.	Deliverable date (Please refer to instructions)	Schedule of AFMA payment(s) (excluding GST)
Initial payment on signing of contract		\$0.00
		\$0.00
		\$0.00
		\$0.00
		\$0.00
		\$0.00
		\$0.00
Draft final report		\$0.00
Final report		\$0.00
TOTAL		\$0.00

SECTION 5 - Description of Milestones

Milestone:	Date:	

Financial Year	Salaries	Travel	Operating	Capital	Total
	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00

Description:

Justification:

Milestone:		Dat	te:		
Financial Year	Salaries	Travel	Operating	Capital	Tota
	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.0
Description:					
Justification:					
Milestone:		Dat	te:		
-			0 (1	0 "1	= 1
Financial Year	Salaries \$0.00	Travel \$0.00	Operating \$0.00	Capital \$0.00	Tot: \$0.0
Description:	φυ.υυ	Φ0.00	φ0.00	φυ.υυ	Φ 0.0
Description.					
Justification:					
NA:Lastana.		Dat			
Milestone:		Dat	te:		
Financial Year	Salaries	Travel	Operating	Capital	Tota
	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.0
Description:					
Justification:					

Special C	onditions
-----------	-----------

If relevant, this field will be used to assist in contract preparation for any special conditions. Examples of special conditions may relate to IP, marine spatial closures (including access) or any other clauses not specifically contained in the contract.

Identify the appropriate Intellectual Property category applicable to this application. Choose ONE from below:

Code	Description
1	Published, widely disseminated and promoted, and/or training and extension provided. Relates mainly to outputs that will be available in the public domain
2	Published, widely disseminated and promoted, and/or training and extension provided. Related products and/or services developed. Relates mainly to outputs that will largely be available in the public domain, but components may be commercialised or intellectual property protected.
3	Published, widely disseminated and promoted, and/or training and extension provided. Related products and/or services developed. Relates mainly to outputs that may have significant components that are commercialised or intellectual property protected.

			• •			
The following	ID ca	t a a a a r	, annliac	to this	: annli	ication:
THE TOHOWING	IF CC	ILEKUI '	y applies	to tills	appi	ication.

Data management

I have searched for existing data (refer to guidelines on how to search the Australian Spatial Data Directory and Oceans Portal:

[Yes / No]

Provide a brief description of the resulting data from the project and how this data will be stored for future protection and access:

Data management should include a description of the data to be produced by the research and show details on the following aspects:

Data security or privacy issues, applying to the data
Nominated data custodian

intended beneficiaries of the research activity?

Torres Strait Scientific Advisory Committee Research Proposal Evaluation

Research Title	Organisation:
Research Themes:	Date:
Principal Investigator:	

Strongly **DISAGREE** Strongly AGREE **Attractiveness** 3 4 6 7 8 10 N/A Is there a priority need for the research? Does this align with identified priorities for the year, as outlined in the annual call for research? Is/are the end-user/s identified? Has the applicant clearly stated who benefits from this research? Do the outcomes have relevance and are measurable where appropriate Is there a statement of clear, measureable outcomes that align with current research priorities? Should outputs contribute towards outcomes and are they measurable? Do the outputs (e.g. reports, communications, media) clearly support the desired outcomes? Will the impact of outputs on outcomes be quantifiable? Cost Benefit Analysis. Is the anticipated benefit appropriate to the Investment? Does the cost seem reasonable in relation to outputs and priority? Is there collaboration between stakeholders (i.e. between community and/or industry, researcher and management)? Is there capacity for the research to be more collaborative? Is this identified and described appropriately? Are there links to previous research? Does the proposal acknowledge and contextualise itself in relation to prior TSSAC research, or other research in the broader literature? Does the project add value to previous research? Is the project progressing work, or is it repetitive? Does the project lead to capacity development for Communities? Has the project addressed community uptake of the outcomes? 10. Is there collaborative funding (cash and/or in-kind contributions)? Does the contribution ratio seem reasonable given the identified beneficiaries of the research? 11. Does the proposal actively engage Torres Strait Islanders in the research? Has the proposal identified key stakeholders, and means of collaboration with them? 12. Are there employment opportunities for Torres Strait Islanders? Has the proposal outlined short and longer term local employment opportunities as a result of the research? 13. Are extension and communication well developed and appropriate; in particular to Torres Strait Islanders? Does the proposal provide details in relation to types and timing of communication activities, which are culturally and socially appropriate? 14. Is there a path to uptake and impact relevant to fisheries management? Does the proposal clearly identify how outputs and outcomes will be utilised by

Strongly **DISAGREE** \longrightarrow Strongly **AGREE**

Feasibility	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	N/A
Are the methods well described and consistent with the objectives?											
2. Are the methods scientifically sound?											
Will the project be carried out in a culturally appropriate way? Does the proposal indicate thought has been given to appropriate levels of consultation and involvement with Traditional Inhabitants?											
Does the applicant have the capacity to produce the outputs?											
5. Is the budget appropriate to meet the outputs and outcomes?											
Is there appropriate data management? Does the proposal outline a coherent strategy surrounding data collection, analysis, and storage?											

Proposal Comments:			